

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAY LEE VAUGHN,)	1:01-cv-05241-OWW-DLB-HC
)	
Petitioner,)	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
)	RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 69)
v.)	
)	ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR
D. ADAMS,)	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On August 29, 2006, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and
Recommendations that the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
be GRANTED as to all of Petitioner's convictions. These Findings
and Recommendations were served on all parties and contained notice
that any objections were to be filed within thirty (30) days from
the date of service of that order. On September 27, 2006,
Respondent filed objections to the Findings and Recommendations.
On October 10, 2006, Petitioner filed a reply to Respondent's
objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636

1 (b) (1) (C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case.
2 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Respondent's
3 objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's
4 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper
5 analysis. Respondent's objections present no grounds for
6 questioning the Magistrate Judge's analysis.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

8 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed August 29, 2006,
9 are ADOPTED IN FULL;

10 2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is GRANTED as to
11 all of Petitioner's convictions; and,

12 3. The State inform the Court whether it will retry
13 Petitioner on the charges within **ninety (90) days** from the date of
14 service of this order.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 **Dated: February 12, 2007**
emm0d6

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE